



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,606	05/02/2001	David K. Peck	20101/01401	5598
28319 7	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.,			TRAN, QUOC A	
1001 G STREE	FOR MICROSOFT ET . N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ELEVENTH S	TREET		2176	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.			\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	S			
	09/847,606	PECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quoc A. Tran	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, noly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 te, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	May 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	awn from consideratior					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objecte e drawing(s) be held in at ction is required if the dra	neyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have t au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No Deen received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/21/2001.	Pape 3) 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	ŕ			

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to application filed 05/02/2001.

2. Claims 1-13 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 7, and 13 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by

Matthews et al.(hereinafter Matthews)"Complete Reference FrontPage 2000"

(Public Release 1999, By Osborn/McGraw-Hill, Ca, USA).

In regard to independent claim 1, "A method for editing Web-based documents, comprising the steps of: receiving from a user an indication of a selected portion of a Web-based document to be edited and of a desired editing function to be performed on the selected portion", as taught by Matthews pages 499-500 (i.e. ... insert HTML component...position insertion point...), "inserting immediately prior to the selected portion a first editing tag corresponding to the desired editing function; detecting object tag elements within the selected portion; inserting immediately prior to each object tag element within the selected portion a second editing tag corresponding to the desired editing function and inserting the second tag at the end of the selected

Art Unit: 2176

portion; and inserting immediately after each object tag element within the selected portion the first editing tag, wherein the first and second editing tags are distinguishable from the object tag elements.", as taught by Matthews pages 466-469 (i.e. ...
...immediately followed by a ...last paragraph has ended when a new one start...Figure 13-2. character style tags displayed... </B applies the Bold physical character style to the enclosed character).

In regard to dependent claim 2, "The method according to claim 1, wherein the first editing tag opens the desired editing function and the second editing tag closes the desired editing function", as taught by Matthews page 468, table 13-3. (i.e.... </B applies the Bold physical character style to the enclosed character).

In regard to dependent claim 3, "The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of saving re-creation data including those portions of an edited Web-based document including first and second editing tags along with contextual data sufficient to locate the saved portions within the original Web-base document so that the edited Web-based document may be re-created by combining the re-creation data and the original Web-based document", as taught by Matthews pages 404-411 (i.e.... FrontPage gives ...several tools to position objects...and to wrap text around the objects).

In regard to dependent claim 4, "the method according to claim 3, wherein, if an original Web-based document has been changed, so that a previously edited portion of the Web-based document is in a different location", as taught by Matthews pages 412-416 (i.e....using absolute positioning...).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claims 5-6, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1-2 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 7, is directed to a software package for performing the method of claim 1, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 8, is directed to a software package for performing the method of claim 2, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 9, is directed to a software package for performing the method of claim 3, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 10, is directed to a software package for performing the method of claim 4, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 11-12, are directed to a software package for performing the method of claims 1-2, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 13, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in dependent claim 1 above, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Agrawal et al U.S. Pub No. 202/0004843A1 issued 01/10/2002 filed 03/05/2001

Henson et al U.S. Patent No. 6,101,509 issued 08/08/2000 filed 09/27/1996

Canelones et al. U.S. Patent No. 6700589 B1 issued 03/02/2004 filed 02/17/2000

Art Unit: 2176

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc A. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-8781. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Quoc A. Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2176
May 26, 2004